

OFFICIAL

**Police and Crime Commissioner
Management Meeting – May**

18th May 2015

2pm

Council Chamber, Mole Valley District Council Offices, Dorking

Attendees:

Kevin Hurley (PCC – Police and Crime Commissioner)
Jeff Harris (DPCC – Deputy Police and Crime Commissioner)
Shiraz Mirza (Advisor – Office of the PCC)
Alison Bolton (Chief Executive – Office of the PCC)
Ian Perkin (Treasurer – Office of the PCC)
Liz Wickham (Communications Manager – Office of the PCC)

Lynne Owens (CC – Chief Constable – Surrey Police)
Nick Ephgrave (DCC – Deputy Chief Constable – Surrey Police)
Paul Bundy (HoF – Head of Finance – Surrey Police)

Sarah Gordon (PA – Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner – Minute Take

Agenda Item	Subject/Note	Action
Item 1	<p>Matters Arising</p> <p>The minutes of the last meeting were accepted as a true and accurate record.</p> <p>Actions from previous meeting:</p> <p>Rape Detection Rate Analysis – it was noted that this information had been provided to the OPCC prior to the meeting. The DCC explained that the highest proportion of rape incidents happened in a domestic setting. The second highest were those where the perpetrator was an acquaintance of the victim. Stranger rape was the lowest which formed less than 10% of reported incidents. The accuracy of relationship recording was improving as previously it may not have been noted at all. Figures showed that domestic rape had the lowest detection rate – 44% of victims did not want to pursue a prosecution for reasons such as they just wanted to get on with their life or for mental health reasons.</p> <p>ACTION 1: The PCC asked for a breakdown of the detection rate for all three groups; domestic, acquaintance and stranger.</p> <p>The DPCC noted the reason for non-pursuit of prosecution was sometimes due to mental health – he questioned who made that assessment. The DCC explained that rape cases were victim led and</p>	DCC

OFFICIAL

	<p>that the assessment was based on what the victim disclosed to the police.</p> <p>POCA Spend – part of the POCA money had been spent on a Roads Policing Unit initiative, Operation Drivedown which was aiming to make Surrey roads safer. The April statistics showed that there had been 556 stops which had involved a number of breath tests, interventions, driver alert scheme letters and S165 seizures – where a car could be seized by police if it didn't have tax or MOT. There had only been two fatalities in the reporting period.</p> <p>The money had also been spent on some Divisional work but the PCC would be updated outside the meeting due to its operational nature.</p> <p>Reserves – the reserves had been discussed along with the Medium Term Financial Plan at a meeting between the OPCC and Chief Officer Group on 11th May. The CC noted that the PCC had given his approval to the MTFP at this meeting.</p> <p>BT Remediation – a letter had been sent to BT and a response had been received – this matter would be progressed outside the meeting.</p>	<p>DCC</p>
<p>Item 2</p>	<p>Surrey Police Progress Against the Six People's Priorities</p> <p>The CC reported that the Force was now following the HMIC's new inspection regime, PEEL (Police Effectiveness, Efficiency and Legitimacy).</p> <p>The downward trend in domestic burglary was continuing into its sixth year. The PCC praised the Force for this achievement, a 27% decrease on this time last year was fantastic news.</p> <p>Serious and acquisitive crime continued to fall. There had been an increase in the reporting of violence with injury, rape and serious sexual offences. This was being replicated across the country and part of the reason was the increase in confidence of reporting.</p> <p>The number of TNOs (total notifiable offences) had increased especially those found by police such as public order and drugs offences.</p> <p>The paper contained a number of good work reports which the CC explained in detail.</p> <p>Action 2: The DPCC asked for a breakdown of the number of jobs and their outcomes that firearms officers got involved in – the public were not always aware that firearms officers were also involved in non-firearms related matters.</p> <p>Tackling drugs was a priority for the Force – Surrey's close proximity to London resulted in a number of cross border incidents and organised crime groups. The paper contained an update on Operation Etamin, an operation to disrupt a group of criminals travelling from London to Surrey to deal drugs.</p> <p>ACTION 3: The PCC asked for an update on the work that the</p>	<p>DCC</p> <p>DCC</p>

OFFICIAL

	<p>officers received.</p> <p>The CC explained that the Force was making plans to hold future disciplinary hearings in public following new legislation announced by the Home Secretary.</p>	
<p>Item 3</p>	<p>Update on the Policing in Your Neighbourhood Project</p> <p>The CC provided an update on the Policing in Your Neighbourhood project. She explained that the demand on the police service had changed and this project was looking at how the Force was going to respond to the change in demand and sustain its finances. The project would simplify the delivery of policing and was proposing a leaner model based on demand and analysis. It would broaden the skills base of the core policing teams to ensure flexibility of deployment and a less fragmented policing service. The project was scheduled to deliver change and benefits through 2015 – 2019 with the project team remaining in place until approximately September 2016.</p> <p>The PCC agreed that the Force was going through some difficult and challenging times financially and that it would benefit from officers becoming generally trained rather than being trained for a specialism. A recent report from the National Audit Office had shown that Surrey had been the only Force to increase its number of uniformed constables. He praised the Chief Constable and her team for balancing the budget effectively.</p> <p>The DPCC noted that the Force was using in house expertise to carry out the project rather than external consultants. The CC suggested that there may be an element of using external consultants for part of the project but the current project team were in house and were passionate about investing in Surrey's future.</p>	
<p>Item 4</p>	<p>Body Worn Video (Verbal)</p> <p>The CC explained the benefits of Body Worn Video – evidence gathering, better accountability due to the change in interaction between officer and complainant. There were currently three pilots taking place in Essex, Hampshire and the Metropolitan Police. The CC didn't want to develop anything in Surrey until the pilots had reported back and until there was a national framework. She would want to do community consultation before they were rolled out in Surrey. There were issues with the storage of the data. CC Giles York (Sussex) and ACC Gavin Stephens were working together and would be making a recommendation to both Surrey and Sussex Chief Officer teams on what roll out would look like in both forces.</p> <p>The PCC said that he fully supported body worn video and he urged the CC to roll it out as soon as possible.</p> <p>The CC wanted to wait until the guidance was clear. She didn't want to set officers up to fail. She was also wary of pursuing the project until more research had been done as she didn't want the same mistakes to be made as those made during the failed SIREN project.</p>	

OFFICIAL

	ACTION 5: CC to keep PCC updated on progress of body worn video in Surrey	CC
	PART TWO – IN PRIVATE – NOT FOR PUBLICATION	